

1  
2  
3  
4

5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

6  
7  
8

9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10  
11  
12  
13  
14

SONIA AND ELY TANGONAN,

Plaintiffs,

No. C 17-02707 WHA

v.

WELLS FARGO BANK N.A.; WORLD  
SAVINGS, FSB; BARRETT, DAFFIN,  
FRAPPER, TREDER & WEISS, LLP; and  
DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

**ORDER DENYING  
MOTION TO REMAND  
AND STAYING ACTION**

Defendants.

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

At oral argument, counsel for plaintiffs stated that the only reason plaintiffs joined Barrett to this action was because it filed a notice of default. Barrett, the only non-diverse party, was therefore fraudulently joined and diversity jurisdiction is proper. For the reasons stated on the record, plaintiffs' motion to remand is **DENIED**.

21  
22  
23  
24

This action is **HELD IN ABEYANCE**, pending resolution of a parallel proceeding in bankruptcy court, *Tangonan v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, No. 17-03042 (Hannah L. Blumenstiel). A case management conference is set for **THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER, 14,** **AT 11 A.M.** Please file a joint case management statement at least seven days in advance.

25  
26  
27  
28

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: July 17, 2017.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE